STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Docket No. 2019-185-E

South Carolina Energy Freedom)	
Act (H.3659) Proceeding to)	
Establish Duke Energy)	
Carolinas, LLC's)	SOUTH CAROLINA SOLAR
Standard Offer, Avoided Cost)	BUSINESS ALLIANCE, INC.'S
Methodologies, Form Contract)	MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE
Power Purchase Agreements,)	WINTERMANTEL DEC/DEP
Commitment to Sell Forms,)	REBUTTAL EXHIBIT 1
and Any Other Terms or)	
Conditions Necessary)	
(Includes Small Power Producers)	
as Defined in 16 United States)	
Code 796, as Amended) - S.C. Code	·)	
Ann. Section 58-41-20(A))	

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Docket No. 2019-186-E

South Carolina Energy Freedom)	
Act (H.3659) Proceeding to)	
Establish Duke Energy)	
Progress, LLC's)	SOUTH CAROLINA SOLAR
Standard Offer, Avoided Cost)	BUSINESS ALLIANCE, INC.'S
Methodologies, Form Contract)	MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE
Power Purchase Agreements,)	WINTERMANTEL DEC/DEP
Commitment to Sell Forms,)	REBUTTAL EXHIBIT 1
and Any Other Terms or)	
Conditions Necessary)	
(Includes Small Power Producers)	
as Defined in 16 United States)	
Code 796, as Amended) - S.C. Code	:)	
Ann. Section 58-41-20(A))	

Pursuant to Commission Regulation 103.849, Intervenor South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc. hereby objects and moves, *in limine*, to exclude Wintermantel DEC/DEP Exhibit 1 from entry into the record at the hearing in these dockets. As grounds for this motion, SBA states:

- 1. On or about October 2, 2019, Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC and Duke Energy Progress, LLC (collectively "Duke") submitted pre-filed rebuttal testimony on behalf of Nick Wintermantel and exhibits attached to that testimony.
- 2. Exhibit 1 to Mr. Wintermantel's rebuttal testimony consists of written testimony prepared by Jeff Thomas that was filed on June 21, 2019 in Docket No. E-100, Sub 158 before the North Carolina Utilities Commission, which is captioned "Biennial Determination of Avoided Cost Rates for Electric Utility Purchases from Qualifying Facilities 2018."
- 3. According to the testimony, Mr. Thomas is an engineer with the Electric Division of the Public Staff of the North Carolina Utilities Commission.
- 4. On October 8, 2019, counsel for SBA contacted counsel for Duke and asked that Exhibit 1 to the rebuttal testimony of Mr. Wintermantel's be withdrawn.
- 5. The following day, October 9, 2019, Duke's counsel declined SBA's request.
- 6. The testimony of Mr. Thomas should not be admitted into the record because Mr. Thomas has not been identified as a witness in these dockets, Mr. Thomas is not scheduled to appear at the hearing for these dockets and as a result, Mr. Thomas cannot be examined orally, cannot be sworn in, cannot affirm his written testimony, and will not be available for cross-examination by the parties or for questions from the Commission, as required by Commission Regulation 103.845.

- 7. Commission Regulation 103.845 provides that witnesses shall be examined orally and that witness presenting testimony "shall be sworn, or shall affirm, before their testimony shall be deemed evidence in the proceeding or any questions are put to them."
- 8. Duke has not identified Mr. Thomas as a witness in this case and Mr. Thomas is not expected to appear in person at the hearing. As such, Mr. Thomas cannot be sworn or provide any affirmation.
- 9. Likewise, if Mr. Thomas does not appear at the hearing, the parties will have no opportunity to cross-examine him and the Commission will not have an opportunity to question him.
- 10. Under these circumstances, it is clear that Mr. Thomas' testimony standing alone would not be deemed evidence in this proceeding. Duke should not be permitted to circumvent that outcome by attaching Mr. Thomas' testimony as an Exhibit to the pre-filed rebuttal testimony of Mr. Wintermantel, who is expected to be present at the hearing.
- 11. Additionally, Mr. Thomas' testimony constitutes inadmissible hearsay under Rules 802 of the South Carolina Rules of Evidence and no exception to the hearsay rule applies that would justify its admission as evidence in this matter.

WHEREFORE, SBA respectfully asks that the Commission exclude Wintermantel DEC/DEP Exhibit 1 from entry into the record at the hearing in these dockets for the reasons set forth above.

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP

By: s/ Jeremy C. Hodges

Weston Adams, III (SC Bar No. 64291)
E-Mail: weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com
Jeremy C. Hodges (S.C. Bar No. 71123)
E-Mail: Jeremy.hodges@nelsonmullins.com
1320 Main Street / 17th Floor
Post Office Box 11070 (29211-1070)
Columbia, SC 29201
(803) 799-2000

Counsel for South Carolina Solar Business Alliance, Inc.

October 17, 2019 Columbia, South Carolina

SOUTH CAROLINA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 2019-185-E DOCKET NO. 2019-186-E

In the Matter of:)	
)	
South Carolina Energy Freedom Act)	
(H.3659) Proceeding to Establish Each)	
Electrical Utility's Standard Offer,)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
Avoided Cost Methodologies, Form)	
Contract Power Purchase Agreements,)	
Commitment to Sell Forms, and Any)	
Other Terms or Conditions Necessary)	
•	•	

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this day one copy of **SOUTH CAROLINA SOLAR BUSINESS ALLIANCE, INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO EXCLUDE WINTERMANTEL DEC/DEP REBUTTAL EXHIBIT 1** to the persons named below at the addresses set forth via electronic mail:

Alexander W. Knowles	Andrew M. Bateman
Office of Regulatory Staff	Office of Regulatory Staff
aknowles@ors.sc.gov	abateman@ors.sc.gov
Jeffrey M. Nelson	Jenny R. Pittman
Office of Regulatory Staff	Office of Regulatory Staff
Email: <u>inelson@ors.sc.gov</u>	Email: jpittman@ors.sc.gov
Nanette S. Edwards	
Office of Regulatory Staff	
Email: nedwards@ors.sc.gov	
Becky Dover	Carri Grube Lybarker
SC Department of Consumer Affairs	SC Department of Consumer Affairs
Email: <u>bdover@scconsumer.gov</u>	Email: clybarker@scconsumer.gov
Carrie Harris Grundman	Derrick Price Williamson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC	Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC
cgrundmann@spilmanlaw.com	dwilliamson@spilmanlaw.com
J. Blanding Holman, IV	James Goldin
Southern Environmental Law Center	Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP
Email: bholman@selcsc.org	Email: jamey.goldin@nelsonmullins.com
Richard L. Whitt	Scott Elliott
Austin & Rogers, P.A.	Elliott & Elliott

Email: rlwhitt@austinrogerspa.com	selliott@elliottlaw.us
Stephanie U. Eaton	Stinson W. Ferguson
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC	Southern Environmental Law Center
seaton@spilmanlaw.com	sferguson@selcsc.org
Weston Adams, III	Benjamin L. Snowden
Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough, LLP	Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton, LLP
Weston.adams@nelsonmullins.com	bsnowden@kilpatricktownsend.com
Maia Danaid Hutt	Lauren Joy Bowen
Southern Environmental Law Center	Southern Environmental Law Center
mhutt@selcnc.org	lbowen@selcnc.org
Len S. Anthony	E. Brett Breitschwerdt
The Law Office of Len S. Anthony	McGuireWoods LLP
len.anthony1@gmail.com	bbreitschwerdt@mcguirewoods.com
Frank R. Ellerbe III	Heather Shirley Smith
Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC	Duke Energy Progress, LLC
fellerbe@robinsongray.com	Heather.smith@duke-energy.com
Heather Shirley Smith	Rebecca J. Dulin
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC	Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC
Heather.smith@duke-energy.com	Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com
Rebecca J. Dulin	Robert R. Smith, II
Duke Energy Progress, LLC	Moore & Van Allen, PLLC
Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com	robsmith@mvalaw.com
Samuel J. Wellborn	
Robinson Gray Stepp & Laffitte, LLC	
swellborn@robinsongray.com	

/s/ Jeremy C. Hodges
Jeremy C. Hodges

olumbia, SC

Columbia, SC October 17, 2019